IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF PUERTO RICO

JAIME NIETO-DEL TORO ET AL

Plaintiffs

Civil No. 97-2137(HE/A

v.

CHRYSLER CORPORATION ET AL:

Defendants



MOTION RULING

Docket No. 44

Motion for Extension to Time to File Response to Motion in Limine (by

plaintiffs)

MOOT.

Docket No. 45

Motion Requesting Order for Spoliation of Evidence (re: Docket No. 53)

Evidentiary Hearing on this motion and that of **Docket No. 53** was held on December 2, 1999. An Opinion and Order on the issue will follow.

Docket No. 46

This motion was opposed by plaintiffs at **Docket No. 49**.

Motion Requesting Order for Interpreter's Fee (by defendants)

Inasmuch as defendants did not timely object to plaintiffs' expert request for services of an interpreter, the request for payment of the interpreter's fees is **DENIED**.





Civil No. 97-2137(HL/ADC)

Page No. 2

Page 2 of 3

n	D	ħ	4	Đ
u	117	u		ж

Docket No. 47

Motion for Reconsideration of Order (by defendants)

GRANTED.

Docket No. 57

Motion Requesting Order (by defendants)

GRANTED. *See also* entry at **Docket No. 47**.

Docket No. 61

Response to Defendants' Motion Requesting Order

NOTED and DENIED at the conclusion of the Evidentiary Hearing held on December 2, 1999.

Docket No. 66

Motion in Limine Requesting Daubert Hearing on Plaintiffs' Expert (by defendants) Motion was granted and hearing was held on December 2, 1999. Opinion and Order to be issued.

Docket No. 67

Notice of Filing of Exhibit (by defendants)

NOTED. It is further NOTED that references at page 23 within Docket No. 66 (Memorandum of Law) makes reference to Exhibit D instead of Exhibit B.

Docket No. 68

Motion Requesting Continuance of Trial (by defendants)

GRANTED on December 2, 1999. *See* **Docket No. 72**.

Civil No. 97-2137(HL/ADC)

Page No. 3

ORDER

Docket No. 69

Chrysler's Proposed Voir Dire

NOTED.

Docket No. 70

Chrysler's Proposed Instructions to Jury

NOTED.

Docket No. 71

Opposition to Defendant's Motion in Limine and Cross Motion In Limine

At the conclusion of the Evidentiary Hearing held on December 2, 1999, plaintiffs' Cross Motion in Limine was Denied. (See Docket No. 73, Transcript

of Evidentiary Hearing.)

Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendants' Motion in Limine is NOTED. (See Docket No. 72.)

SO ORDERED.

DATE: March 15, 2000

U.S. Magistrate-Judge